



Review Decision Process

- We recommend **Three (3)** completed reviews however, **Two (2)** reviews are required for each technical paper.
- Topic/Session Organizers read comments and decide if paper is acceptable or requires revisions.
- Revised paper must be submitted if reviewer scores:
 - “Major Revisions” or
 - “Not Acceptable”.
- Authors **MUST** submit a rebuttal file **with revised paper**.
- Rebuttal file is **available** to reviewers.
- Topic/Session Organizers determine if revised paper should be reviewed again and use the same reviewer(s).
- **Second review required** for all papers for which reviewer scored “Major Revisions” or “Not Acceptable”.
- If second review not necessary, make final decision.

2nd Review Process



Referee 2

		Referee 2				
		Matrix of Decisions	Acceptable	Acceptable with Minor Revision	Acceptable with Major Revision	Not Acceptable
Referee 1	Acceptable	Accept	Accept	Organizer Decision	Organizer Decision (3 rd Review)	
	Acceptable with Minor Revision	Accept	Accept	Organizer Decision	Organizer Decision (3 rd Review)	
	Acceptable with Major Revision	Organizer Decision	Organizer Decision	Reject	Reject	
	Not Acceptable	Organizer Decision (3 rd Review)	Organizer Decision (3 rd Review)	Reject	Reject	