





ANNOUNCEMENT TITLE	ASTRO-AAPM Physics Residents/Postdoctoral Fellows Seed Grant
AWARD YEAR	2024
MECHANISM	Seed Grant (SG)
PROGRAM PARTNER	American Society for Radiation Oncology
POSTED DATE	November 6, 2023
UPDATE	The Application Guidelines and Program Contact sections were updated on November 1, 2023
PURPOSE	To foster and develop the research careers of postdoctoral fellows and
	medical physics residents interested in radiation oncology related research.
SCOPE OF RESEARCH	The proposed project must be focused on physics-related research in radiation oncology.
AWARD TERM	1 year. One no-cost extension (NCE) may be considered by AAPM at
	AAPM's full discretion. However, the total project period may not exceed 2 years.
NUMBER OF AWARDS	Up to two (2) awards unless additional funds become available at AAPM's discretion.
AWARD BUDGET	Up to \$25,000 can be awarded to the selected applicant's training
	nart of this award
APPLICATION DUE DATE	March 20, 2024: 11:59 PM Eastern time (GMT -5)
FARLIEST START DATE	
	The general eligibility criteria for this PA are listed in this section
	Meanwhile, AAPM has full discretion in any funding decision and is not obligated nor liable to issue any award to any eligible or ineligible applicants at any time
	Eligible Organizations Higher
	 Public/State Controlled Institutions of Higher Education Private Institutions of Higher Education
	 The following types of Higher Education Institutions are always encouraged to apply for AAPM support as Public or Private Institutions of Higher Education: Hispanic-serving Institutions Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities (TCCUs) Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions Asian American Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institutions (AANAPISIs)
	 Nonprofits Other Than Institutions of Higher Education Nonprofits with 501(c)(3) IRS Status (Other than Institutions of Higher Education) Nonprofits without 501(c)(3) IRS Status (Other than Institutions of Higher Education) Foreign Institutions

	 Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Institutions) are not eligible to apply. Non-domestic (non-U.S.) components of U.S. Organizations are
	not eligible to apply.
	Eligible Individuals (Medical Physics Residents/Postdoctoral Fellows) Any candidate with the skills, knowledge, and resources necessary to carry out the proposed research as the Principal Investigator (PI) is invited to work with their mentor and organization to develop an application for support.
	Individuals from underrepresented racial and ethnic groups as well as individuals with disabilities are always encouraged to apply for ASTRO- AAPM support. Multiple PIs are not allowed.
	Degree Requirements and Employment Status Applicants (Ph.D. or equivalent) must be a postdoctoral fellow or medical physics resident and be employed by a U.S. based eligible Institution at the time of application.
	Level of effort PIs are required to commit at least 75% of their full-time professional effort to research. The remainder may be devoted to clinical or other pursuits. Physics residents who provide written Institutional support for 75% research time while completing a three-year residency or extension of a two-year residency are considered eligible.
	ASTRO & AAPM Memberships The applicant must be a current and active ASTRO and AAPM member or have submitted an application for ASTRO and AAPM membership, as of the due date of the seed grant application. Visit the linked sites to apply for <u>AAPM</u> and <u>ASTRO</u> membership.
	If selected, the PI will be required to maintain both memberships throughout the duration of the grant.
COMMITMENT FROM THE APPLICANT	 The applicant must designate a mentor at their Institution who will provide guidance and support for the research project. <u>Meetings</u>: If awarded, the PI is encouraged to attend at least one ASTRO Annual Meeting and AAPM Annual Meeting and present their research findings at the meetings.
COMMITMENT FROM THE APPLICANT'S MENTOR	The mentor should be an accomplished investigator in the proposed research area and have a track record of success in training independent investigators.
	• The mentor should have sufficient independent research support to cover the costs of the proposed research project in excess of the allowable costs of this award.
	The mentor must demonstrate, in writing, a commitment to the development of the applicant as a productive, independent

	investigator. It is expected that the mentor will meet with the PI at
	least weekly.
	Applicants may also nominate co-mentors as appropriate to the goals of the program
	 At least one mentor must be an active member of ASTRO and/or
	AAPM.
COMMITMENT FROM	• If awarded, the host department will act as the fiscal intermediary.
AFFILIATED ELIGIBLE	for satisfying tax withholding, deposit and/or reporting requirements
ORGANIZATION(S)	applicable to the payment of the award. The PI will be responsible
	for individual income taxes. The Institution will be required to
	provide sufficient additional funds to supplement salaries or supplies
	as needed for the research project.
	osition that might affect their ability to successfully complete their
	training should be communicated as soon as possible to ASTRO so
	that appropriate action can be taken.
	• When a mentor at the grantee's Institution is to be replaced, the
	Institution must submit a letter from the proposed mentor
	audifications for supervising the project and 3) the level of support
	for the applicant's career development.
	Only 1 grant can support the proposed research project. If
	independent funding is obtained for the same scope of work
	selected by ASTRO-AAPM for this award the recipient must refuse
	aithar this ar the compating award(a)
	either this or the competing award(s).
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: <u>https://aapm.me/AAPRPD</u> and the document templates and requirements therein
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: <u>https://aapm.me/AAPRPD</u> and the document templates and requirements therein.
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). Submission Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: https://aapm.me/AAPRPD and the document templates and requirements therein. Application Content
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). Submission Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: https://aapm.me/AAPRPD and the document templates and requirements therein. Application Content It is critical that applicants follow the instructions. Conformance to the
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: <u>https://aapm.me/AAPRPD</u> and the document templates and requirements therein. <u>Application Content</u> It is critical that applicants follow the instructions. Conformance to the requirements in this PA are required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of applications with these instructions may be delayed or not
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: <u>https://aapm.me/AAPRPD</u> and the document templates and requirements therein. <u>Application Content</u> It is critical that applicants follow the instructions. Conformance to the requirements in this PA are required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accented for review
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). Submission Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: https://aapm.me/AAPRPD and the document templates and requirements therein. Application Content It is critical that applicants follow the instructions. Conformance to the requirements in this PA are required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review.
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). Submission Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: https://aapm.me/AAPRPD and the document templates and requirements therein. Application Content It is critical that applicants follow the instructions. Conformance to the requirements in this PA are required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review. All materials must be prepared in English, single spaced, using a font size
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: <u>https://aapm.me/AAPRPD</u> and the document templates and requirements therein. <u>Application Content</u> It is critical that applicants follow the instructions. Conformance to the requirements in this PA are required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review. All materials must be prepared in English, single spaced, using a font size of 11 or 12 points. Smaller text in figures and charts is acceptable, once it is legible when the page is viewed at 100% (Ariel or Times New Prevent
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: <u>https://aapm.me/AAPRPD</u> and the document templates and requirements therein. <u>Application Content</u> It is critical that applicants follow the instructions. Conformance to the requirements in this PA are required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review. All materials must be prepared in English, single spaced, using a font size of 11 or 12 points. Smaller text in figures and charts is acceptable, once it is legible when the page is viewed at 100%. Arial or Times New Roman fonts are recommended. A minimum of one-half inch margins must be
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: <u>https://aapm.me/AAPRPD</u> and the document templates and requirements therein. <u>Application Content</u> It is critical that applicants follow the instructions. Conformance to the requirements in this PA are required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review. All materials must be prepared in English, single spaced, using a font size of 11 or 12 points. Smaller text in figures and charts is acceptable, once it is legible when the page is viewed at 100%. Arial or Times New Roman fonts are recommended. A minimum of one-half inch margins must be used on all page borders.
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). <u>Submission</u> Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: <u>https://aapm.me/AAPRPD</u> and the document templates and requirements therein. <u>Application Content</u> It is critical that applicants follow the instructions. Conformance to the requirements in this PA are required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review. All materials must be prepared in English, single spaced, using a font size of 11 or 12 points. Smaller text in figures and charts is acceptable, once it is legible when the page is viewed at 100%. Arial or Times New Roman fonts are recommended. A minimum of one-half inch margins must be used on all page borders. 1. Log in as an AAPM member; go to <u>https://aapm.me/AAPRPD</u> to start the
APPLICATION GUIDELINES	either this or the competing award(s). Submission Applicants must submit a proposal to only one of the 2024 ASTRO Seed Grant funding opportunities. Applications are due by 11:59 pm Eastern time on March 20, 2024. Proposals will not be considered after the deadline. Applications must be submitted online using the AAPM portal: https://aapm.me/AAPRPD and the document templates and requirements therein. Application Content It is critical that applicants follow the instructions. Conformance to the requirements in this PA are required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review. All materials must be prepared in English, single spaced, using a font size of 11 or 12 points. Smaller text in figures and charts is acceptable, once it is legible when the page is viewed at 100%. Arial or Times New Roman fonts are recommended. A minimum of one-half inch margins must be used on all page borders. 1. Log in as an AAPM member; go to https://aapm.me/AAPRPD to start the online application. Upload the required documents prior to the deadline.

 Applicant: Complete all required fields, and level of effort (%) that will be allocated to the proposed research project. PI Demographics: Providing this information is optional and is not part of the review process. Institution and Contacts: Provide the Institution name, address and type of organization and requested contact information of the mentor and signing official. Scientific Abstracts, Impact Statement, Modalities and Common Scientific Outline (CSO) Codes: Provide a general audience abstract (non-technical) (300 words max) and a technical abstract (500 words max) that concisely describe the background, rationale, specific aims, experimental approach including model system and statistical approach, anticipated outcomes and impact of the project. Note the general audience abstract will become public if the proposal is selected for funding, therefore, it should not include any proprietary information. Impact Statement: Statement of Proposal's Benefit to radiation oncology and medical physics research (200 words max). Select all relevant Modalities and CSO Codes that best represent the proposed research. Other Support: List any additional research support that the PI currently holds. Include Project Title, Funding Source, Project Status (i.e., Active or Pending), Award Number, Start and End Dates, Person Months, and describe any Overlap. Research Assurances: Describe if the project involves Human Subjects and/or Vetebrate Animals, the status of IRB/IACUC approvals as applicable (e.g., approved, pending, exempt), use of recombinant DNA, biohazardous materials, genetically engineered organisms, or fetal tissue. Application Documents: Upload the below required application documents. Research Plan (6-page limit): Project description to fit within the 1-year project period and should include:

	 Significance
	 Future directions
	References must be included but will not count toward the 6-
	page limit.
	 Biosketches (5-page limit): The applicant and lead mentor must
	each submit a biosketch including a list of relevant publications
	and currently funded research projects. DoD and NIH formats
	will be accepted. Biosketches for collaborators and research
	support staff are not required.
	Budget and Budget Justification: Submit a detailed budget (can
	be prepared using the NIH budget form e.g. PHS 398) and
	Budget Justification with a breakdown and description of the
	estimated costs. AS I RO and AAPM will cover only direct costs.
	Funding cannot go towards supporting salaries of mentors or
	Collaboratoris.
	- memoring plan (1-page limit): A detailed mentoring plan from
	meetings, and other wave to support the applicant and help
	increase likelihood of success must be included
	 Letters of support (2): Upload 2 letters of support. One must be
	from your mentor. The other can be from a collaborator
	Letters of support from additional collaborators can be
	appended but are not required.
	Institutional letter of support: Upload a letter of support from
	the Institution or Department. This letter must indicate the
	level of commitment through matching funds or in-kind
	contribution from the Institution to this award. This letter
	should include a guarantee that the applicant will be afforded
	at least 75 percent protected time to perform research (to
	include this and other research projects).
	12. Validate: Review entire proposal for missing required information
	13. Signature Page: Before submitting the application, complete all
	TIERDS WITHIN THE SIGNATURE PAGE. A SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED from the
	Applicant/PI, the primary mentor, and a Signing Official from the
	applicant's institution. Applications will not be considered for review if required signatures are missing
	review in required signatures are inisolity.
	Applications to this Seed Grant program might also be considered for
	other active Seed Grant opportunities for the 2024-2025 funding cycle.
APPLICATION REVIEW	All proposals will undergo a rigorous peer review by the AAPM Grant
	Review Panel. Reviewers are members of the AAPM Scientific Review
	Panel and representatives from ASTRO. A study section consisting of
	researchers with expertise in the areas and topics of each grant will
	review the application for scientific merit and appropriateness for
	tunding. Final decisions will be subject to the approval of the ASTRO and
	AAPM Boards of Directors. If no suitable candidates are found, no awards
	may be issued.

Review Criteria : In general, reviewers should evaluate the candidate's potential for developing an independent research career that will make important contributions to the field of radiation oncology and medical physics research, taking into consideration the likely value of the proposed research project to lead to submissions for larger grant applications. Selected proposals will have strong scientific merit and impact, and possess an innovative and transformative approach, and demonstrate potential for progression to the clinic.
<u>Overall Impact</u> Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the radiation oncology research field. In addition, Reviewers should provide their assessment of the likelihood that the proposed mentorship and research plan will enhance the candidate's potential for a productive, independent scientific research career in a health-related field, taking into consideration the criteria below in determining the overall impact score.
Scored Review Criteria Reviewers will consider each of the review criteria below in the determination of scientific merit and give a separate score for each. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major scientific impact.
If the proposed research includes clinical study, the reviewers will consider that any clinical study may include study design, methods, and intervention that are not by themselves innovative, but address important questions or unmet needs. Reviewers should also consider the scope of the clinical study relative to the available resources.
 Significance Does the project address an important problem or a critical barrier to progress in the field? If the aims of the project are achieved, how will scientific knowledge, technical capability, and/or clinical practice be improved? How will successful completion of the aims change the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or preventative interventions that drive this field?
 Candidate Are the PI, collaborators/mentors well suited to the project? Does the candidate have the potential to develop as an independent and productive researcher? Are the candidate's prior training and research experience appropriate for this award?

• Is the candidate's academic, clinical (if relevant), and research record
of high quality?
Is there evidence of the candidate's commitment to meeting the
program objectives to become an independent investigator in
research?
• Do the reference letters address the above review criteria, and do
they provide evidence that the candidate has a high potential for
becoming an independent investigator?
Does the candidate have the potential to organize, manage, and implement the proposed research?
 Does the candidate have training (or plans to receive training) in
data management and statistics relevant to the proposed research?
Mentoring Plan/Career Goals and Objectives
• What is the likelihood that the plan will contribute substantially to
the scientific development of the candidate and lead to scientific
independence?
Are the candidate's prior training and research experience
appropriate for this award?
• Are the content, scope, phasing, and duration of the mentoring plan
appropriate when considered in the context of prior
training/research experience and the stated training and research
Are there adequate plans for monitoring and evaluating the
candidate's research and career development progress?
candidate 3 research and career development progress:
Research Plan
• Does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or
clinical practice paradigms by utilizing novel theoretical concepts,
approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions?
• Are the concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or
interventions novel to one field of research or novel in a broad
sense?
Is a refinement, improvement, or new application of theoretical
concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or
• Are the proposed research questions, design, and methodology of
significant scientific and technical merit?
 Has the candidate included plans to address weaknesses in the rigor
of prior research that serves as the key support for the proposed
project?
Has the candidate presented strategies to ensure a robust and
unbiased approach, as appropriate for the work proposed?
• If relevant, has the candidate presented adequate plans to address
relevant biological variables, such as sex, for studies in vertebrate
animals or human subjects?
• Is the research plan relevant to the candidate's research career
objectives?

 Is the research plan appropriate to the candidate's stage of research development and as a vehicle for developing the research skills
described in the mentoring plan?
• If relevant, are the scientific rationale and need for a clinical,
feasibility or ancillary study well supported by preliminary data,
clinical and/or preclinical studies, or information in the literature or
knowledge of biological mechanisms?
 If proposing a small feasibility study, is the study warranted and will it contribute to releasing and realizing any data model for design of
future larger scale clinical trials?
• If relevant, is the clinical or ancillary study necessary for testing the
safety, efficacy or effectiveness of an intervention, or in the case of a
feasibility study necessary to establish feasibility of a future clinical trial?
 Is the study design justified and relevant to the clinical biological
and statistical hypothesis(es) being tested?
 Are the plans to standardize, assure quality of, and monitor
adherence to, the protocol and data collection or distribution
guidelines appropriate?
Are planned analyses and statistical approach appropriate for the
proposed study design and methods used to assign participants and
deliver interventions, if interventions are delivered?
Mentor(s), Co-Mentor(s), Consultant(s), Collaborator(s)Are the qualifications of the mentor(s) in the area of the proposed
research appropriate?
 Does the mentor(s) adequately address the candidate's potential and their strengths and areas needing improvement?
Is there adequate description of the quality and extent of the
mentor's proposed role in providing guidance and advice to the candidate?
Is the mentor's description of the elements of the research career
development activities, including formal course work adequate?
Is there evidence of the mentor's, consultant's, and/or collaborator's
previous experience in fostering the development of independent investigators?
• Is there evidence of the mentor's current research productivity and
peer-reviewed support?
 Is active/pending support for the proposed research project
appropriate and adequate?
Are there adequate plans for monitoring and evaluating the career
development of the candidate's progress toward independence
through a detailed mentoring plan?
 Does the mentor or mentoring team have the expertise, experience, and ability to guide the applicant in the approximation mentoring team have the experiment.
and implementation of the proposed research and help them to
and implementation of the proposed research and help them to meet timelines?

Environment & Institutional Commitment to the Candidate
• Is there clear commitment of the sponsoring Institution to ensure
that the required minimum of the candidate's effort will be devoted
directly to the research described in the application, with the
remaining percent effort being devoted to an appropriate balance of
research, teaching, administrative, and clinical responsibilities?
 Is the Institutional commitment to the career development of the
candidate appropriately strong?
 Are the research facilities, resources and training opportunities,
including faculty capable of productive collaboration with the
candidate adequate and appropriate?
Is the environment for scientific and professional development of
the candidate of high quality?
If applicable, are the administrative, data coordinating, enrollment
and laboratory/testing centers, appropriate for the trial proposed?
Does the application adequately address the capability and ability to
conduct the proposed research at the proposed site(s) or centers? If
applicable, are there plans to add or drop enrollment centers, as
If international site(s) is/are proposed does the application
adequately address the complexity of executing the proposed
research?
Additional Review Criteria
As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will evaluate the
following additional items while determining scientific and technical
merit, and in providing an overall impact score, but will not give separate
scores for these items.
Protections for Human Subjects
 For research that involves human subjects but does not involve one
of the categories of research that are exempt under 45 CFR Part 46,
the committee will evaluate the justification for involvement of
human subjects and the proposed protections from research risk
relating to their participation according to the following five review
criteria: (1) risk to subjects, (2) adequacy of protection against risks,
(3) potential benefits to the subjects and others, (4) importance of
the knowledge to be gained, and (5) data and safety monitoring for
clinical trials.
 For research that involves human subjects and meets the criteria for
one or more of the categories of research that are exempt under 45
UFR Part 46, the committee will evaluate: (1) the justification for the
exemption, (2) numan subjects involvement and characteristics, and
(3) sources or materials. For additional information on review of the
numan Subjects section, please refer to the NIH Guidelines for the
Inclusion of Women. Minorities, and Individuals Across the Lifespan

	When the proposed project involves human subjects and/or NIH- defined clinical research, the committee will evaluate the proposed plans for the inclusion (or exclusion) of individuals on the basis of sex/gender, race, and ethnicity, as well as the inclusion (or exclusion) of individuals across the lifespan (including children and older adults) to determine if it is justified in terms of the scientific goals and research strategy proposed. For additional information on review of the Inclusion section, please refer to the NIH <u>Guidelines for the</u> <u>Review of Inclusion in Clinical Research</u> .
	Vortobrato Animals
	 Vertebrate Animals The committee will evaluate the involvement of live vertebrate animals as part of the scientific assessment according to the following criteria: (1) description of proposed procedures involving animals, including species, strains, ages, sex, and total number to be used; (2) justifications for the use of animals versus alternative models and for the appropriateness of the species proposed; (3) interventions to minimize discomfort, distress, pain and injury; and (4) justification for euthanasia method if NOT consistent with the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals. Reviewers will assess the use of chimpanzees as they would any other application proposing the use of vertebrate Animals section, please refer to the NIH Worksheet for Review of the Vertebrate Animal Section.
	 Biohazards Reviewers will assess whether materials or procedures proposed are potentially hazardous to research personnel and/or the environment, and if needed, determine whether adequate protection is proposed.
	 Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources For projects involving key biological and/or chemical resources, reviewers will comment on the brief plans proposed for identifying and ensuring the validity of those resources.
	 Budget Reviewers will consider whether the budget is fully justified and reasonable in relation to the proposed research within the project period.
PROGRAM CONTACT	 Email questions to Karen MacFarland at <u>karen@aapm.org</u> and ASTRO's Department of Scientific Affairs at <u>science@astro.org</u>.